arXiv:1608.02275v1 [math.AG] 7 Aug 2016

GEOMETRY OF MODULI SPACES OF RATIONAL CURVES IN LINEAR SECTIONS OF GRASSMANNIAN $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$

KIRYONG CHUNG, JAEHYUN HONG, AND SANGHYEON LEE

Abstract. We prove that the moduli spaces of rational curves of degree at most 3 in linear sections of the Grassmannian $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$ are all rational varieties. We also study their compactifications and birational geometry.

1. Introduction

Rational curves in Fano varieties have played useful roles in algebraic geometry as in the works of Clemens-Griffiths [9], Iskovski [23], Beauville-Donagai [3], Lehn-Lehn-Sorger-van Straten [32] and Iliev-Manivel [22]. Understanding the birational geometry of the moduli spaces of rational curves in a Fano variety may lead us to interesting examples of new varieties or may reveal some internal structure of the Fano variety. In [25, 6, 5], the authors investigated the birational geometry of compactified moduli spaces of rational curves of degree ≤ 3 in projective spaces and homogeneous varieties. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the geometry of moduli spaces of rational curves of degree ≤ 3 in linear sections of Grassmannian $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$. We prove that the moduli spaces of rational curves of degree at most 3 in linear sections of the Grassmannian $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$ are all rational varieties. We also study their compactifications and birational geometry.

A smooth Fano varietiy refers to a smooth projective variety Y whose canonical bundle K_Y is antiample, i.e. the dual $K_Y^\vee$ of K_Y is ample. The index of X is defined to be the largest positive integer r such that $K_Y^\vee$ is the r-th power of a line bundle on Y. If $r = \mathrm{index}(Y)$, we denote the r-th root of $K_Y^\vee$ by $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. It is well known [24] that the index of a smooth Fano variety is bounded from above by $\dim(Y)+1$. We define

$$\mathrm{coindex}(Y) = \dim Y + 1 - \mathrm{index}(Y).$$

If $\mathrm{coindex}(Y) = 0$, Y is a projective space. When $\mathrm{coindex}(Y) = 1$, Y is a quadric hypersurface, which is a homogeneous variety. Therefore the results of [6, 5] account for the birational geometry of rational curves of degree ≤ 3 when $\mathrm{coindex}(Y) \leq 1$.

Among Fano varieties of coindex 2, cubic hypersurfaces have attracted the most interest. In [9], Clemens-Griffiths utilized the moduli space of lines to prove the non-rationality of cubic 3-folds. In [3], Beauville-Donagi showed that the moduli space of lines in a cubic 4-fold is a hyperkähler manifold of dimension 4. In [32], Lehn-Lehn-Sorger-van Straten investigated the birational geometry of moduli spaces of twisted cubic curves in a cubic 4-fold and constructed a hyperkähler manifold of dimension 8.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E05, 14E08, 14M15.

Key words and phrases. Grassmannian, rational curves, Fano variety, birational geometry.

Another interesting family of Fano varieties of coindex 2 is linear sections of Grassmannian $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$. Let $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ denote the Plücker embedding and let Y_5^m denote the intersection of $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$ with $6-m$ general hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^9$ for $2 \le m \le 6$. Then Y_5^m is a smooth Fano m-fold of degree 5 and coindex 2. These are of particular interest because they are solutions to Hirzebruch's problem: Y_5^m is a smooth projective compactification of $\mathbb{C}^m$ with $b_2(Y_5^m) = 1$. The goal of this paper is to investigate the geometry of moduli spaces of rational curves in Y_5^m.

Rational curves in the Fano 3-fold Y_5^3 have been studied in [10, 12, 20, 37]. Let $H_d(Y_5^3)$ denote the Hilbert scheme of closed subschemes of Y_5^3 with Hilbert polynomial $dt+1$. Then it was proved by Faenzi, Furushima-Nakayama, Iliev and Sanna that

$$H_1(Y_5^3) \cong \mathbb{P}^2, \quad H_2(Y_5^3) \cong \mathbb{P}^4, \quad \text{and} \quad H_3(Y_5^3) \cong \mathsf{Gr}(2,5). \tag{1.1}$$

In particular these moduli spaces are all rational and irreducible. In this paper, we prove

Theorem 1.1. *(Theorem 3.1) The moduli spaces $R_d(Y_5^m)$ of smooth rational curves of degree d on Y_5^m are all rational for $d \le 3$ and $2 \le m \le 6$.*

To prove this theorem, we first classify smooth rational curves on $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$ of degree ≤ 3 (cf. §2). We find that a line (resp. conic, resp. twisted cubic) in $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$ has a *vertex* (resp. *envelope*, resp. *axis*) which gives us a rational map $\eta_1 : R_1(Y_5^m) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ (resp. $\eta_2 : R_2(Y_5^m) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$, resp. $\eta_3 : R_3(Y_5^m) \dashrightarrow \mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$). Analyzing the fibers of these maps, we obtain the desired rationality(§3). As a consequence, we can only expect to find rational varieties from the birational geometry of rational curves in Y_5^m, unlike the case of cubic hypersurfaces (cf. [3, 32]).

After proving Theorem 1.1, we investigate compactified moduli spaces of rational curves in Y_5^m. In §4, we describe the birational geometry of compactified moduli spaces of rational curves of degree $d \le 3$ in $Y_5^6 = \mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$ from [6, 5]. For lines, it is straightforward that $R_1(Y_5^6) = H_1(Y_5^6)$ is the flag variety $\mathsf{Gr}(1,3,5)$. For conics, the quasimap perspective (cf. [26]) gives us a compactification

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)^{\oplus 5}) /\!/ \mathsf{SL}_2 \times \mathsf{SL}_2. \tag{1.2}$$

The two SL_2 act on the two $\mathbb{C}^2$ in the standard manner and hence we find that (1.2) is the quiver variety associated to the quiver with two vertices and five edges connecting the vertices.

In §5, we prove that

$$H_1(Y_5^5) = R_1(Y_5^5) = \text{blow-up of } \mathsf{Gr}(3,5) \text{ along a smooth quadric 3-fold } \Sigma. \tag{1.3}$$

We also prove that the Fano variety $F_2(Y_5^5)$ of planes in Y_5^5 is the disjoint union of the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^4$ at a point and the smooth quadric 3-fold Σ (cf. [22, §3.2]). We show that $H_2(Y_5^5)$ can be obtained from a $\mathsf{Gr}(3,5)$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^4$ by a single blow-up/-down (Proposition 5.8).

In §6, we recall classical results of Todd (cf. [38]) on the Fano variety $F_2(Y_5^4)$ of planes and the moduli space $R_1(Y_5^4) = H_1(Y_5^4)$ of lines in Y_5^4. We give elementary proofs of these results. By the same method in the 5-fold case, we further prove that $H_2(Y_5^4)$ can be obtained from a $\mathbb{P}^3$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^4$ by a single blow-up/-down (Proposition 6.6). As a direct corollary,

Theorem 1.2. *(Proposition 5.8, 6.6) The Hilbert scheme $H_2(Y_5^m)$ for $m = 4, 5$ of conics is irreducible and smooth.*

The method studying about lines or conics in this paper can be applied in the 3-fold case Y_5^3. In §7, we reprove the well-known results in (1.1) about the space of lines and conics in Y_5^3.

1.1. **Notations.** All the schemes in this paper are defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathsf{Gr}(k,n)$ denotes the space of k-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\{e_0, e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ unless otherwise stated. Let $p_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k}$'s denote the Plücker coordinates of the Grassmannian $\mathsf{Gr}(k,n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Acknowledgements. The first named author wishes to express his gratitude to Atanas Iliev and Young-Hoon Kiem for leading the interest in this topic. We would like to thank Han-Bom Moon and Wanseok Lee for valuable discussions and comments. KC was supported by Kyungpook National University Research Fund, 2015.

2. Rational curves in Grassmannians

In this section, we classify rational curves of degree ≤ 3 in Grassmannians. Let $\mathsf{Gr}(2,n), (n \geq 4)$ be the Grassmannian of lines in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and consider the Plücker embedding

$$G := \mathsf{Gr}(2,n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{2}-1}.$$

For fixed subspaces $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\sigma_{a_1,a_2} = \{[L] \in G | \dim(L \cap V_i) \geq i\}$ be the Schubert variety (class) where $a_i := n - 2 + i - \dim(V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

To study the rational curves in $\mathsf{Gr}(2,n)$, we only consider the following collection of Schubert cycles in $\mathsf{Gr}(2,n)$.

Definition 2.1. *Let us think of a point $\ell \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,n)$ as a line in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and fix a flag $p \in \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Then we define*

- $\sigma_{n-4,0} = \{\ell \,|\, \ell \cap \mathbb{P}^2 \neq \emptyset\}$ $\quad (\dim n, \deg n(n-3)/2)$
- $\sigma_{n-3,0} = \{\ell \,|\, \ell \cap \mathbb{P}^1 \neq \emptyset\}$ $\quad (\dim n - 1, \deg n - 2)$
- $\sigma_{n-4,n-4} = \{\ell \,|\, \ell \subset \mathbb{P}^3\}$ $\quad (\dim 4, \deg 2)$
- $\sigma_{n-3,n-4} = \{\ell \,|\, \ell \cap \mathbb{P}^1 \neq \emptyset, \ell \subset \mathbb{P}^3\}$ $\quad (\dim 3, \deg 2)$
- $\sigma_{n-2,0} = \{\ell \,|\, p \in \ell\}$ $\quad (\dim n - 2, \deg 1)$
- $\sigma_{n-3,n-3} = \{\ell \,|\, \ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2\}$ $\quad (\dim 2, \deg 1)$
- $\sigma_{n-2,n-4} = \{\ell \,|\, p \in \ell \subset \mathbb{P}^3\}$ $\quad (\dim 2, \deg 1)$
- $\sigma_{n-2,n-3} = \{\ell \,|\, p \in \ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2\}$ $\quad (\dim 1, \deg 1)$.

The dimensions (and the degrees) of the Schubert cycles comes from [15, Page 196] and [11, Example 14.7.11]. When $n = 5$, these cycles freely generate the homology $H_*(\mathsf{Gr}(2,5), \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let C be a smooth rational curve in $G = \mathsf{Gr}(2,n)$ of degree d with respect to the Plücker embedding.*

1. *If $d = 1$, C is the Schubert variety $\sigma_{n-2,n-3}(p, \Lambda)$ of lines in a fixed plane $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ passing through a fixed point $p \in \Lambda$.*
2. *If $d = 2$, C is either the variety of lines passing through a fixed point p and points in a fixed conic C' in $\mathbb{P}^3$, or the variety of lines joining a point moving in a fixed line ℓ_0 and another point moving in another fixed line ℓ_1.*
3. *If $d = 3$, C is either the variety of lines passing through a fixed point p and points in a fixed twisted cubic curve C' in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, or the variety of lines*

joining a point moving in a fixed line ℓ and another point moving in a fixed conic C''.

Proof. If ℓ is a line in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, the locus of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ containing ℓ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$. Thus the locus of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ containing a line in the family parameterized by the curve C has dimension at most $n-2$. So we can choose a hyperplane Π in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ which meets each line in the family parameterized by C transversely. Let $C \leftarrow F \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ denote the family of lines parameterized by C where $\pi : F \to C$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle and $f|_{\pi^{-1}(x)}$ is the embedding of the line represented by x so that we have a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1}(\Pi) & \longrightarrow & \Pi \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \\ C & & \end{array}$$

Since $\pi^{-1}(x)$ intersects with Π transversely, locally we can write the bijective map $f^{-1}(\Pi) \to F \to C$ as

$$\{(z_1, z_2) \,|\, z_2 = g(z_1)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1$$

and find that $C_0 := f^{-1}(\Pi)$ is the image of a section $s_0 : C \to F$. Let $N_{C_0/F}$ be the normal bundle to C_0 in F. Then $F = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus N)$ where $N = s_0^* N_{C_0/F}$. Let $s_1 : C \cong \mathbb{P}N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus N) = F$. Let $L_0 = (f \circ s_0)^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)$ and $L_1 = (f \circ s_1)^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)$ so that the morphism $f \circ s_0 : C \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is $(a_0 : a_1 : \cdots : a_{n-1})$ for $a_i \in H^0(C, L_0)$ while $f \circ s_1 : C \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is $(b_0 : b_1 : \cdots : b_{n-1})$ for $b_i \in H^0(C, L_1)$. Then the family F of lines can be represented by two dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$ spanned by the rows of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & \cdots & b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence the Plücker coordinates for $C \subset Gr(2, n) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{2}-1}$ are $a_i b_j - a_j b_i \in H^0(C, L_0 L_1)$. Therefore, we find that the degree of C is

$$d = d_0 + d_1, \quad \text{where } d_0 = \deg L_0 \text{ and } d_1 = \deg L_1.$$

If $d = 1$, then $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and, without loss of generality, $L_0 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ and $L_1 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. If we let $p = (a_0 : a_1 : \cdots : a_{n-1})$ and Λ be the plane spanned by p, $(b_0(0) : \cdots : b_{n-1}(0))$ and $(b_0(1) : \cdots : b_{n-1}(1))$, we obtain (1)

Let $d = 2$ so that $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. If $d_0 = 0$ and $d_1 = 2$, letting $p = (a_0 : a_1 : \cdots : a_{n-1})$ and $C' = \{(b_0(t) : \cdots : b_{n-1}(t)) \,|\, t \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$, we find that C is the variety of lines passing through p and points in the conic C'. If $d_0 = 1$ and $d_1 = 1$, both the images $\ell_0 := f \circ s_0(C)$ and $\ell_1 := f \circ s_1(C)$ are lines and C parameterizes lines through a point moving in ℓ_0 and another point moving in ℓ_1.

The case for $d = 3$ is similar. □

Remark 2.3. *We could also prove Proposition 2.2 by using Grothendieck's theorem which says that any vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ splits into the direct sum of line bundles.*

If $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to G = \mathrm{Gr}(2, n)$ *is a morphism of degree* d*, the pullback of the (dual) universal bundle gives a surjective homomorphism*

$$\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus n} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_2)$$

over $\mathbb{P}^1$ *with* $d = d_1 + d_2, d_1 \leq d_2$*.*

When $d = 1$*, we have* $d_1 = 0$ *and* $d_2 = 1$*. The composition* $\pi_1 \circ \varphi : \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n} \to \mathcal{O}$ *of* φ *with the projection onto the first factor is surjective and gives us the point* $p \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ *while that with the second projection* $\pi_2 \circ \varphi$ *gives a line in* $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$*. We thus obtain Proposition 2.2 (1).*

When $d = 2$*, we have* $(d_1, d_2) = (0, 2)$ *or* $(1, 1)$*. In the first case,* $\pi_1 \circ \varphi$ *gives a point* p *while* $\pi_2 \circ \varphi$ *gives a conic* C'*. In the second case, both* $\pi_1 \circ \varphi$ *and* $\pi_2 \circ \varphi$ *give us lines.*

When $d = 3$*, we have* $(d_1, d_2) = (0, 3)$ *or* $(1, 2)$*. In the first case,* $\pi_1 \circ \varphi$ *gives a point* p *while* $\pi_2 \circ \varphi$ *gives a twisted cubic* C'*. In the second case,* $\pi_1 \circ \varphi$ *gives a line* ℓ *while* $\pi_2 \circ \varphi$ *gives a conic* C'*.*

As a consequence of Proposition 2.2, we obtain the following characterization.

Proposition 2.4. *(1) (*[16, Exercise 6.9]*) The variety* $R_1(G)$ *of lines in* $G = \mathrm{Gr}(2, n)$ *is the flag variety* $\mathrm{Gr}(1, 3, n)$ *of* $V_1 \subset V_3 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ *with* $\dim V_i = i$*.*

(2) For any smooth conic $C \subset G \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{2}-1}$*, there is a unique three dimensional subspace* $\mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{2}-1}$ *that contains all the lines in* $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ *parameterized by* C*.*

(3) For a general twisted cubic $C \subset G \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{2}-1}$*, there is a line* $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ *which meets all the lines in* $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ *parameterized by* C*.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 (1), each line in G parameterizes the family of lines in a plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ passing through a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Conversely, such a family of lines in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ gives a line in G.

By Proposition 2.2 (2), each conic C in G parameterizes lines joining a point p and points in a conic C', or points in a line ℓ_0 and another line ℓ_1. If we choose a $\mathbb{P}^3$ containing p and C' or ℓ_0 and ℓ_1, then all the lines in the family parameterized by C are contained in the $\mathbb{P}^3$.

By Proposition 2.2 (3), each twisted cubic C in G parameterizes lines joining a point p and points in a twisted cubic C' or points in a line ℓ and a conic C''. If we choose any line through p meeting with the cubic C' in the first case or the line ℓ in the second case, we find that all the lines in the family parameterized by C meet ℓ. □

Definition 2.5. *(1) We call the point* p *in Proposition 2.2 (1), the* vertex *of the family of lines parameterized by the line.*

(2) We call the three dimensional subspace $\mathbb{P}^3$ *in Proposition 2.4 (2), the* envelope *of the family of lines parametrized by the conic* C*.*

(3) We call the line ℓ *in Proposition 2.4 (3), the* axis *of the family of lines parametrized by the twisted cubic* C*.*

Corollary 2.6. *(cf.* [16, Exercise 6.9] *and* [4]*) Let* $R_d(G)$ *denote the moduli space of smooth rational curves of degree* $d \leq 3$ *in* $G = \mathrm{Gr}(2, n)$ *with* $n \geq 4$*.*

(1) There is a morphism $\eta_1 : R_1(G) \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ *which assigns the vertex to each line in* G*. Each fiber is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Gr}(2, n-1)$*.*

(2) There is a rational map $\eta_2 : R_2(G) \to \mathrm{Gr}(4, n)$ *which assigns the envelope to each smooth conic in* G*. A general fiber is isomorphic to the moduli space*

$R_2(\sigma_{n-4,n-4})$ *of conics in the Schubert cycle* $\sigma_{n-4,n-4}(\cong \mathrm{Gr}(2,4))$ *in Definition 2.1.*

(3) There is a rational map $\eta_3 : R_3(G) \to \mathrm{Gr}(2,n)$ *which assigns the axis to each twisted cubic curve in* G*. A general fiber is isomorphic to the moduli space* $R_3(\sigma_{n-3,0})$ *of smooth twisted cubics in the Schubert cycle* $\sigma_{n-3,0}$ *in Definition 2.1.*

Proof. (1) By Proposition 2.4 (1), η_1 is the forgetful $R_1(G) = \mathrm{Gr}(1,3,n) \to \mathrm{Gr}(1,n)$ defined by $(V_1, V_3) \to V_1$. The choice of V_3/V_1 in $\mathbb{C}^n/V_1$ is parameterized by $\mathrm{Gr}(2, n-1)$.

(2) General conic C is given by (p, C') or (ℓ_0, ℓ_1) in the notation of Proposition 2.2 (2) which span a unique $\mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. In this case $C \in R_2(\sigma_{n-4,n-4})$.

(3) General twisted cubic C is given by (ℓ, C'') in the notation of Proposition 2.2 (3). Lines meeting ℓ form the Schubert cycle $\sigma_{n-3,0}$. □

3. Rationality of moduli spaces of rational curves in linear sections of Grassmannian

Before embarking on the study of the birational geometry of moduli spaces of rational curves in Grassmannians, we determine their birational types. The purpose of this section is to prove the following rationality result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $G = \mathrm{Gr}(2,5)$*. Let* $Y = Y_5^m$ *be the intersection of* $\mathrm{Gr}(2,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{5}{2}-1}$ *with* $6-m$ *general hyperplanes. Then the moduli spaces* $R_d(Y)$ *of smooth rational curves of degree* d *on* Y *are all rational for* $d \leq 3$*.*

When $m = 0$, Y_5^0 consists of five points since the degree of G is 5. When $m = 1$, Y_5^1 is a plane curve of degree 5 and hence there is no rational curve in Y_5^1.

Lemma 3.2. (1) $R_1(Y_5^2)$ *consists of 10 reduced points;*

(2) $R_2(Y_5^2)$ *is the disjoint union of five copies of* $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$*;*

(3) $R_3(Y_5^2)$ *is the disjoint union of four copies of* $\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathbb{P}^1$ *and* $\mathbb{P}^2$ *minus four lines;*

(4) *there are no planes in* Y_5^2*.*

Proof. Y_5^2 is a del Pezzo surface of degree five and hence isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at four general points. Obviously Y_5^2 does not contain any plane.

By adjunction, a line in Y_5^2 is a rational curve in Y_5^2 with self-intersection number -1. There are four exceptional curves and the (proper transforms of) six lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ passing through two out of the four blowup centers. Hence there are exactly ten lines in Y_5^2.

By adjunction again, a smooth conic in Y_5^2 is a rational curve with self-intersection number 0. These are the (proper transforms of) lines through one of the four blowup centers or conics through the all four blowup centers, minus the lines through two out of the four blowup centers and the three degenerate conics through the four points.

By adjunction, a smooth twisted cubic in Y_5^2 is a rational curve with self-intersection number 1. These are the (proper transforms of) lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ not passing through any of the four blow up centers or conics passing through three out of the four blowup centers. The first collection is $\mathbb{P}^2$ minus four lines while the second is four copies of $\mathbb{P}^2$ minus a line. □

Proposition 3.3. *([10, 12, 20, 37]) The Hilbert schemes $\mathsf{H}_d(Y_5^3)$ with Hilbert polynomial $dt+1$ in Y_5^3 are isomorphic to*

$$\mathsf{H}_1(Y_5^3) \cong \mathbb{P}^2, \quad \mathsf{H}_2(Y_5^3) \cong \mathbb{P}^4, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{H}_3(Y_5^3) \cong \mathrm{Gr}(2,5). \tag{3.1}$$

In particular, $\mathsf{R}_d(Y_5^3)$ for $d \leq 3$ are rational.

For the completeness of our argument using in this paper, we deal with the same results about the space of line and conics in Y_5^3 (§7).

Remark 3.4. *The correspondences in* (3.1) *are given by the composition map $\eta_d \circ i$ for $i : \mathsf{R}_d(Y_5^3) \subset \mathsf{R}_d(G)$ and the map η_d in Corollary 2.6. Let us provide a geometric description of the fiber of $\eta_d \circ i$ for $d = 2, 3$ (cf.* [1, §1] *and* [37, Remark 2.47]*). Since $\sigma_{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^3) \cong \mathrm{Gr}(2,4)$ has degree two (Definition 2.4), the intersection $\sigma_{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^3) \cap \mathsf{H}_1 \cap \mathsf{H}_2 \cap \mathsf{H}_3$ is a conic in Y_5^3.*

Similarly, by an explicit computation with coordinates, we find that $\sigma_{2,0}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is contained in a linear subspace $\mathbb{P}^6$ of $\mathbb{P}^9$ and $\sigma_{2,0}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is defined by three quadric polynomial equations. Thus the intersection $\sigma_{2,0}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ with $\mathsf{H}_1 \cap \mathsf{H}_2 \cap \mathsf{H}_3$ is a twisted cubic, which is the fiber of the map $\eta_3 \circ i$ (cf. [21, Proposition 4.5]*).*

Corollary 3.5. *$\mathsf{R}_d(Y_5^m)$ are irreducible for $m \geq 3$ and $d \leq 3$.*

Proof. Note that the spaces Y_5^m are isomorphic to each other with respect to the choice of the hyperplane sections H_i. Let

$$I = \{(C, H) \in \mathsf{R}_d(Y_5^m) \times \mathrm{Gr}(13-m, 10) | C \subset H\}$$

be the incident variety of the pair of the curve C and the subspace $H \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ whose codimension is $m-3$. The second projection map $p_2 : I \to \mathrm{Gr}(13-m, 10)$ is clearly dominant. Moreover, $\mathrm{Gr}(13-m, 10)$ is irreducible. Since $p_2^{-1}(H) = \mathsf{R}_d(Y_5^3)$ is irreducible for the general H (Proposition 3.3), the incident variety I is irreducible. Now the first projection map $p_1 : I \to \mathsf{R}_d(Y_5^m)$ is dominant because each smooth rational curve of degree $d \leq 3$ in $Y_5^m \subset \mathrm{Gr}(2,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ is contained in a $\mathbb{P}^3$ and hence in a subspace $H \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ of codimension $m-3$. This proves the claim. □

With the irreducibility (Corollary 3.5) at hand, the rationality of $\mathsf{R}_d(Y_5^m)$ for $m \geq 4$ and $d \leq 3$ is obtained through the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. *(cf.* [27, Theorem 3] *and* [30, Theorem 4.9]*) Let $Y_5^6 = \mathrm{Gr}(2,5)$. Then*

1. *$\mathsf{R}_1(Y_5^6) = \mathrm{Gr}(1,3,5)$ is a $\mathrm{Gr}(2,4)$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^4$;*
2. *$\mathsf{R}_2(Y_5^6)$ is birational to a $\mathrm{Gr}(3,6)$-bundle over $\mathrm{Gr}(4,5) = \mathbb{P}^4$;*
3. *$\mathsf{R}_3(Y_5^6)$ is birational to a $\mathrm{Gr}(4,7)$-bundle over $\mathrm{Gr}(2,5)$;*
4. *the Fano variety of planes in Y_5^6 is $\mathrm{Gr}(1,4,5) \sqcup \mathrm{Gr}(3,5)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, giving a line in Y_5^6 is equivalent to giving a pair of a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^4$ and a plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ containing p (vertex). So we get (1).

By Corollary 2.6 (2), we have the rational map (envelope) $\eta_2 : \mathsf{R}_2(Y_5^6) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ whose general fiber is $\mathsf{R}_2(\sigma_{1,1})$. By Plücker embedding, $\sigma_{1,1} \cong \mathrm{Gr}(2,4) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is a quadric hypersurface. Hence a general $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$ gives a smooth conic $\mathrm{Gr}(2,4) \cap \mathbb{P}^2$. Therefore if we let $U \to \mathrm{Gr}(4,5) \cong \mathbb{P}^4$ be the universal rank 4 bundle, a general point in the relative Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(3, \wedge^2 U)$ over $\mathbb{P}^4$ gives a conic in $\mathrm{Gr}(2,5)$. This clearly gives the birational map (2).

By Corollary 2.6 (3), we have the rational map (axis) $\eta_3 : \mathsf{R}_3(Y_5^6) \dashrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2,5)$ whose general fiber is $\mathsf{R}_3(\sigma_{2,0})$. By direct computation with coordinates, we find

that $\sigma_{2,0}$ is contained in a linear subspace $\mathbb{P}^6$ of $\mathbb{P}^9$ and $\sigma_{2,0}$ is defined by three quadric polynomial equations. As mentioned in Remark 3.4, a general $\mathbb{P}^3$ in $\mathbb{P}^6$ intersects with $\sigma_{2,0}$ along a twisted cubic. This gives us (3).

A plane in $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$ is either the collection of lines in $\mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ passing through a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^3$ or the collection of lines in a plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. The first is parameterized by $\mathsf{Gr}(1,4,5)$ while the second is by $\mathsf{Gr}(3,5)$. □

Lemma 3.7. (1) $R_1(Y_5^5)$ *is birational to a* $\mathsf{Gr}(2,3) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$*-bundle over* $\mathbb{P}^4$*;*
(2) $R_2(Y_5^5)$ *is birational to a* $\mathsf{Gr}(3,5)$*-bundle over* $\mathsf{Gr}(4,5) \cong \mathbb{P}^4$*;*
(3) $R_3(Y_5^5)$ *is birational to a* $\mathsf{Gr}(4,6)$*-bundle over* $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$*.*

Proof. Let $Y_5^5 = \mathsf{Gr}(2,5) \cap H_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ where H_1 is a hyperplane. The inclusion $Y_5^5 \subset Y_5^6 = \mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$ induces the inclusion

$$\iota_d : R_d(Y_5^5) \hookrightarrow R_d(Y_5^6).$$

For $p \in \mathbb{P}^4$, the Schubert cycle $\sigma_{3,0}(p) = \{\ell \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,5) = Y_5^6 \mid p \in \ell\} \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ embeds into $\mathbb{P}^9$ as a linear subvariety. By Bertini's theorem, for general p, $\sigma_{3,0}(p)$ intersects with H_1 cleanly along a $\mathbb{P}^2$. Therefore a general fiber of $\eta_1 \circ \iota_1 : R_1(Y_5^5) \to \mathbb{P}^4$ is $R_1(H_1 \cap \sigma_{3,0}(p)) \cong \mathsf{Gr}(2,3) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$.

A general fiber of $\eta_2 \circ \iota_2 : R_2(Y_5^5) \dashrightarrow \mathsf{Gr}(4,5) \cong \mathbb{P}^4$ is $R_2(\sigma_{1,1} \cap H_1)$ for a hyperplane H_1 of $\sigma_{1,1} = \mathsf{Gr}(2,4) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. Since $\sigma_{1,1}$ is a quadric hypersurface, this fiber is birational to $\mathsf{Gr}(3,5)$ which parameterizes $\mathbb{P}^2$'s in $H_1 \cap \mathbb{P}^5$.

A general fiber of $\eta_3 \circ \iota_3 : R_3(Y_5^5) \dashrightarrow \mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$ is $R_3(\sigma_{2,0} \cap H_1)$ for a hyperplane H_1 of $\mathbb{P}^6$ in the notation of the proof of Lemma 3.6. By the proof of Lemma 3.6, a general choice of $\mathbb{P}^3$ in $H_1 \cap \mathbb{P}^6$ gives a twisted cubic $\sigma_{2,0} \cap \mathbb{P}^3$ and hence the fiber above is birational to $\mathsf{Gr}(4,6)$. □

Lemma 3.8. (1) $R_1(Y_5^4)$ *is birational to* $\mathbb{P}^4$*;*
(2) $R_2(Y_5^4)$ *is birational to a* $\mathsf{Gr}(3,4) = \mathbb{P}^3$*-bundle over* $\mathsf{Gr}(4,5) = \mathbb{P}^4$*;*
(3) $R_3(Y_5^4)$ *is birational to a* $\mathsf{Gr}(4,5) = \mathbb{P}^4$*-bundle over* $\mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$*.*

Proof. The proof is identical to that for Lemma 3.7, if we simply use $H_1 \cap H_2$ in place of H_1 and replace Y_5^5 by Y_5^4 where H_1 and H_2 are hyperplanes. □

Combining the above lemmas and Corollary 3.5, we obtain Theorem 3.1.

In the remaining sections, we will provide a more detailed description of compactifications of $R_d(Y_5^m)$.

4. Fano 6-fold $Y_5^6 = \mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$

Throughout this section, we let $G = Y_5^6 = \mathsf{Gr}(2,5)$ and consider the compactified moduli spaces of rational curves of degree $d \leq 3$ in G.

Let us recall several compactifications of $R_d(G)$ that we studied in [5].

• **Hilbert compactification**: Since $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^9$, Grothendieck's general construction gives us the Hilbert scheme $\mathsf{Hilb}^{dt+1}(G)$ of closed subschemes of G with Hilbert polynomial $h(t) = dt + 1$ as a closed subscheme of $\mathsf{Hilb}^{dt+1}(\mathbb{P}^r)$. The closure $H_d(G)$ of $R_d(G)$ in $\mathsf{Hilb}^{dt+1}(G)$ is a compactification which we call the *Hilbert compactification.*.

• **Kontsevich compactification**: A stable map is a morphism of a connected nodal curve $f : C \to G$ with finite automorphism group. Here two maps $f : C \to G$ and $f' : C' \to G$ are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $\eta : C \to C'$ satisfying

$f' \circ \eta = f$. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_0(G, d)$ of isomorphism classes of stable maps $f : C \to G$ with arithmetic genus 0 and $\deg(f^*\mathcal{O}_G(1)) = d$ has a projective coarse moduli space. The closure $M_d(G)$ of $R_d(G)$ in $\mathcal{M}_0(G, d)$ is a compactification, called the *Kontsevich compactification*.

• **Simpson compactification**: A coherent sheaf E on G is *pure* if any nonzero subsheaf of E has the same dimensional support as E. A pure sheaf E is called *semistable* if

$$\frac{\chi(E(t))}{r(E)} \leq \frac{\chi(E''(t))}{r(E'')} \qquad \text{for } t >> 0$$

for any nontrivial pure quotient sheaf E'' of the same dimension, where $r(E)$ denotes the leading coefficient of the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(E(t)) = \chi(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_G(t))$. We obtain *stability* if $\leq$ is replaced by $<$. If we replace the quotient sheaves E'' by subsheaves E' and reverse the inequality, we obtain an equivalent definition of (semi)stability. There is a projective moduli scheme $\mathcal{S}imp^P(G)$ of semistable sheaves on G of a given Hilbert polynomial P. If C is a smooth rational curve in G, then the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_C$ is a stable sheaf on G. The closure $\mathcal{S}_d(G)$ of $R_d(G)$ in $\mathcal{S}imp^{dt+1}(G)$ is a compactification called the *Simpson compactification*.

Obviously, $H_1(G) = M_1(G) = \mathcal{S}_1(G) = R_1(G) = Gr(1, 3, 5)$.

4.1. **Conics in $G = Gr(2, 5)$.** Since G is a homogeneous variety, we can apply the results of [5].

Theorem 4.1. [5, §3]

(1) $\mathcal{S}_2(G) \cong H_2(G)$.
(2) *The blow-up of $M_2(G)$ along the locus of stable maps with linear image is isomorphic to the smooth blow-up of $\mathcal{S}_2(G)$ along the locus of stable sheaves with linear support.*

For later use (§6.2), let us discuss a birational geometry of the Hilbert scheme $H_2(G)$ which is related with the enveloping map (Corollary 2.6 (2))

$$\eta_2 : R_2(G) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4 = Gr(4, 5).$$

Let $Gr(2, \mathcal{U})$ be the Grassmannian bundle over the universal bundle $\mathcal{U}$ of $Gr(4, 5)$. By [34, Theorem 1.4], there exists a relative Hilbert scheme of conics

$$\widetilde{\eta}_2 : H_2(Gr(2, \mathcal{U})) \to Gr(4, 5)$$

with the canonical projection map $\widetilde{\eta}_2$. The Fano variety $F_2(Gr(2, n))$ of planes in $Gr(2, n)$ was described in [30, Theorem 4.9]. In particular, $F_2(Gr(2, 4)) = Gr(1, 4) \sqcup Gr(3, 4)$ and $F_2(Gr(2, 5)) = Gr(1, 4, 5) \sqcup Gr(3, 5)$. The planes parameterized by $Gr(1, 4, 5)$ (resp. $Gr(3, 5)$) is called by $\sigma_{3,1}$ (resp. $\sigma_{2,2}$)-plane.

Proposition 4.2. [22, §3.1] *Under the above definition and notation, the natural birational morphism*

$$\Psi : H_2(Gr(2, \mathcal{U})) \longrightarrow H_2(G)$$

is a smooth *blow up morphism along the space Δ of conics lying in the $\sigma_{2,2}$-planes.*

Proof. The exceptional divisor is a $\mathbb{P}^5$-bundle over a $Gr(3, 4)$-bundle over $Gr(4, 5)$. By its construction, the $Gr(3, 4)$-bundle over $Gr(4, 5)$ is isomorphic to the $Gr(1, 2) = \mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $Gr(3, 5)$ where $Gr(1, 2)$ parameterizes $\mathbb{P}^3$ containing a fixed $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Hence, to show the smoothness of the blow-up Ψ, let us describe the normal space of Δ in $H_2(G)$ at C. From the normal bundle sequence $0 \to N_{C/\mathbb{P}^2} \to$

$N_{C/G} \to N_{\mathbb{P}^2/G}|_C \to 0$ and the short exact sequence $0 \to N_{\mathbb{P}^2/G}(-2) \to N_{\mathbb{P}^2/G} \to N_{\mathbb{P}^2/G}|_C \to 0$, we know that the normal space is isomorphic to

$$N_{\Delta/H_2(G),C} \cong H^1(N_{\mathbb{P}^2/G}(-2)).$$

From a diagram chasing, $N_{\mathbb{P}^2/G} \cong \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\oplus 2}$ for the $\sigma_{2,2}$-type plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. Here $\mathcal{Q}$ is the universal quotient bundle on $\mathbb{P}^2$. This implies that the later space is $H^1(N_{\mathbb{P}^2/G}(-2)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\oplus 2})^*$. This space is naturally identified with the choice of $\mathbb{P}^3$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$ while containing the plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let $S(G) = Gr(3, \wedge^2\mathcal{U})$ be the relative Grassimannian bundle of the universal bundle $\mathcal{U}$ over $Gr(4,5)$. Then there exists a smooth blow-up morphism*

$$\Xi : H_2(Gr(2,\mathcal{U})) \longrightarrow S(G)$$

where the blow up center is the disjoint union of the flag varieties $T(G) := Gr(1,4,5) \sqcup Gr(3,4,5)$.

Proof. By the base change property of the blow-up, it is enough to check the claim fiberwisely. The later one has been done in [4, Lemma 3.9]. Note that $T(G)$ is isomorphic to the relative orthogonal bundle $OG(3, \wedge^2\mathcal{U})$ over $Gr(4,5)$ ([18, Proposition 4.16]). □

By Proposition 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain the following diagram:

(4.1)



where $\mathcal{U}$ is the universal subbundle over $Gr(4,5)$.

Let us finish this subsection after some remarks about stable map space similar to the diagram (4.1) From the viewpoint of the birational geometry ([4]). Since we do not use this part again in the remaining of the paper, we only sketch the results. Let $M_2(Gr(2,\mathcal{U}))$ be the space of relative stable maps of degree two and genus zero. Let $M_2(Gr(2,\mathcal{U})) \to M_2(Gr(2,5))$ be the forgetful map. Let $N(Gr(2,5))$ be the relative Kronecker quiver space $N(\mathcal{U};2,2)$ with the fiber $N(4;2,2)$ (for the precise definition of quiver space, see [7]). Then there exists a divisorial contraction $M_2(Gr(2,\mathcal{U})) \to N(Gr(2,5))$ contracting the stable maps whose images are planar ([7]). In summary, we obtain



Consider the universal rank 2 subbundle $U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus 5}$ and its dual surjection $\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus 5} \to U^\vee$. Given a general conic $\mathbb{P}^1 \cong C \hookrightarrow Gr(2,5)$, the restriction of $U^\vee$ splits as $U^\vee|_C \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ and the universal quotient map is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 5} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. Hence general rational curves are parameterized by an open subset of the geometric invariant theory quotient

$$\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^5) /\!/ SL_2 \times SL_2$$

where the first SL_2 acts on $\mathbb{P}^1$ in the standard manner while the second acts on $\mathbb{C}^2$ by matrix multiplication. This GIT quotient is obviously the quiver variety $N(5;2,2)$ associated to the quiver with two vertices decorated with 2-dimensional vector spaces and five edges connecting the vertices. Related with the birational geometry of the moduli space of stable maps $M_2(Gr(2,5))$ in the view point of Mori program, see [8].

4.2. **Twisted cubics in $G = Gr(2,5)$.** Because G is homogeneous, we can apply the results of [5] again.

Theorem 4.4. [5, §4]

(1) $H_3(G)$ *is the smooth blow-up of* $S_3(G)$ *along the locus* $\Delta(G)$ *of planar stable sheaves.*

(2) $S_3(G)$ *is obtained from* $M_3(G)$ *by three weighted blow-ups followed by three weighted blow-downs. In other words,* $S_3(G)$ *is obtained from* $M_3(G)$ *by blowing up along* Γ_0^1, Γ_1^2, Γ_2^3 *and then blowing down along* Γ_3^2, Γ_4^3, Γ_5^1 *where* Γ_i^j *is the proper transform of* Γ_{i-1}^j *if* Γ_{i-1}^j *is not the blow-up/-down center and the image/preimage of* Γ_{i-1}^j *otherwise. Here* Γ_0^1 *is the locus of stable maps whose images are lines;* Γ_0^2 *is the locus of stable maps whose images consist of two lines;* Γ_1^3 *is the subvariety of the exceptional divisor* Γ_1^1 *which is a fiber bundle over* Γ_0^1 *with fibers*

$$\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}_1(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_L(-1))) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_L(-1))$$

where Hom_1 *denotes the locus of rank 1 homomorphisms.*



5. Fano 5-fold Y_5^5

In this section, we let $Y = Y_5^5$ be the intersection of the Grassmannian $G = Gr(2,5)$ and a general hyperplane H. For explicit calculation, we will let

$$H = \{p_{12} - p_{03} = 0\}$$

where p_{ij}'s denote the Plücker coordinates.

5.1. **Fano varieties of lines and planes in Y_5^5.** In this section, we give a precise description of the Fano variety $F_1(Y)$ of lines in Y and the Fano variety $F_2(Y)$ of planes in Y in the following two propositions.

Proposition 5.1. $F_1(Y) = H_1(Y) = S_1(Y) = M_1(Y)$ *is the blow-up of the Grassmannian* $Gr(3,5)$ *along a smooth quadric 3-fold* Σ.

Proof. Each line Z in G is $\{\ell \in G \mid p \in \ell \subset P\}$ for a plane $P \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ and a point $p \in P$. We have a morphism

$$\psi : F_1(Y) \hookrightarrow F_1(G) = Gr(1,3,5) \longrightarrow Gr(3,5), \quad (p,P) \mapsto P.$$

For a plane $P \in Gr(3,5)$ represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & a_3 & a_4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & b_3 & b_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c_3 & c_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

let us consider lines in P represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \alpha & a_3+\alpha c_3 & a_4+\alpha c_4 \\ 0 & 1 & \beta & b_3+\beta c_3 & b_4+\beta c_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The equation $p_{12} = p_{03}$ gives a unique line Z in Y defined by $\alpha + b_3 + \beta c_3 = 0$. Hence $\psi^{-1}(P)$ consists of a unique point.

For a plane $P \in Gr(3,5)$ represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b_2 & b_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_2 & c_3 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

let us consider lines in P represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_2+\alpha c_2 & a_3+\alpha c_2 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & b_2+\beta c_2 & b_3+\beta c_3 & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The equation $p_{12} = p_{03}$ gives a unique line Z in Y defined by $a_2+b_3+\alpha c_2+\beta c_3 = 0$. Hence $\psi^{-1}(P)$ consists of a unique point unless $c_2 = c_3 = a_2 + b_3 = 0$. When the equations hold, $\psi^{-1}(P) = P^\vee \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ is the space of lines in the plane P.

By repeating the same with all other charts, we find that there is a smooth quadric 3-fold

$$\Sigma = Gr(2, U_4) \cap H' \subset H' \cong \mathbb{P}^4$$

where for $U_4 = \langle e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$, $Gr(2, U_4) \subset Gr(3,5)$ is the linear embedding: $U_2 \mapsto U_2 + \langle e_4 \rangle$ and $H' = \mathrm{zero}(p_{12} - p_{03})$ is the hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 U_4) \cong \mathbb{P}^5$. Note that $\psi^{-1}(P)$ is a point for $P \notin \Sigma$ and $P^\vee$ for $P \in \Sigma$. It is straightforward to prove that ψ is the blowup along Σ, by using explicit local chart calculation. For instance, consider the local chart $(a_2, b_2, c_2, a_3, b_3, c_3, \lambda, \mu)$ of $Gr(1,3,5)$ represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & a_2+\lambda b_2+\mu c_2 & a_3+\lambda b_3+\mu c_3 & \mu \\ 0 & 1 & b_2 & b_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_2 & c_3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose first row represents the one dimensional subspace V_1 while all the three rows span a three dimensional subspace V_3. Let us consider lines in the plane $\mathbb{P}V_3$ passing through $\mathbb{P}V_1$ represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & a_2+\lambda b_2+\mu c_2 & a_3+\lambda b_3+\mu c_3 & \mu \\ 0 & \alpha & \alpha b_2+\beta c_2 & \alpha b_3+\beta c_3 & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The equation $p_{12} = p_{03}$ gives us $a_2 + b_3 = -\mu c_2$ and $c_3 = \lambda c_2$, which define $F_1(Y)$. Certainly this is the blowup map $(c_2, \lambda, \mu) \mapsto (c_2, c_3, a_2 + b_3)$ along $\Sigma = \mathrm{zero}(c_2, c_3, a_2 + b_3)$ in local coordinates. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $F_1(Y)$ be the moduli space of lines in Y. Then $F_1(Y)$ is smooth.*

Proof. As seen in Proposition 5.1, the reduced scheme $F_1(Y)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is irreducible. Let L be a line in Y. Note that L is a locally complete intersection for all $L \subset Y$. From the nested normal bundle sequence $0 \to N_{L/Y} \to N_{L/G} \to N_{Y/G}|_L = \mathcal{O}_L(1) \to 0$, the expected dimension of $F_1(Y)$ is equal to $h^0(N_{L/Y}) - h^1(N_{L/Y}) = 6$. But since each point $[L]$ in $F_1(Y)$ has dimension 6, $F_1(Y)$ is a locally complete intersection by [34, Theorem 2.15]. This implies that $F_1(Y)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Also it is known that a generically reduced Cohen-Macaulay space is reduced ([33, page 49-51]). Hence it is enough to prove that $h^1(N_{L/Y}) = 0$ for a single line L in Y, which is an easy computation by the help of Macaulay2 ([14]). After all, we proved that $F_1(Y) = F_1(Y)_{\mathrm{red}} \cong \mathrm{bl}_\Sigma \mathrm{Gr}(3,5)$ is smooth. □

Proposition 5.3. *([22, §4.4]) The Fano variety of planes $F_2(Y)$ consists of two components $F_2^{3,1}(Y) \sqcup F_2^{2,2}(Y)$. The first component $F_2^{3,1}(Y)$ is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^4$ at a point y_0 and the second component $F_2^{2,2}(Y)$ is a smooth quadric 3-fold Σ.*

Proof. From the proof of the previous lemma, we find that the locus $F_2^{2,2}(Y)$ of $\sigma_{2,2}$-planes is the quadric 3-fold Σ.

Assigning the vertex gives a morphism $\psi : F_2^{3,1}(Y) \to \mathbb{P}^4$. Let $y = [1 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3 : a_4]$ be the vertex of a $\sigma_{3,1}$-line represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ 0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The equation $p_{12} - p_{03}$ is a nonzero linear equation $b_3 = a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1$ and thus a unique plane.

By calculating with all charts, we find that $\psi^{-1}(y)$ is a point if and only if $y = [0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1] =: y_0$ and $\psi^{-1}(y_0)$ is the dual $\mathrm{Gr}(3,4) \cong \mathbb{P}^4$ of planes in $\mathrm{zero}(y_4) \cong \mathbb{P}^3$.

As in the proof of Proposition 5.1, it is straightforward to check that ψ is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^4$ at y_0 by explicit local calculation. By the same argument in Lemma 5.2, one can show that the Hilbert scheme $F_2(Y)$ of planes is smooth and thus finish the proof. □

5.2. **Conics in Y_5^5.** Cooking up the geometric properties of the lines and planes in Y_5^5, we study the birational relation between $H_2(Y_5^5)$ and a projective model via the diagram (4.1) (Proposition 5.8). The key point of the argument depends on [5, Definition-Proposition 3.4].

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the universal subbundle on $\mathrm{Gr}(4,5)$. Let

$$\mathcal{K} := \ker\{\wedge^2 \mathcal{U} \subset \wedge^2 \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 5} \to \mathcal{O}\} \tag{5.1}$$

be the kernel of the composition map where the second arrow is $p_{12} - p_{03}$ (cf. [28, Proposition B.6.1]). Note that $\mathcal{K}$ is locally free because of the choice of the hyperplane $p_{12} - p_{03} = 0$. Let $S(Y) := \mathrm{Gr}(3, \mathcal{K})$ and then $S(Y) \subset S(G) := \mathrm{Gr}(3, \wedge^2 \mathcal{U})$ by its definition. Recall that the blow-up center $T(G)$ in Proposition 4.3 can be described in terms of the disjoint union of the flag varieties: $\mathrm{Gr}(1,4,5) \sqcup \mathrm{Gr}(3,4,5)$.

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^5$ be a 5-dimensional vector space. Note that the space $S(G)$ is given by an incident variety

$$S(G) = \{(U, V_4) | U \subset \wedge^2 V_4\} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(3, \wedge^2 V) \times \mathrm{Gr}(4, V).$$

The embedding map $T(G) := T^{3,1}(G) \sqcup T^{2,2}(G) \hookrightarrow S(G)$ is defined as follow.

- For $[(V_1, V_4)] \in T^{3,1}(G)$,
$$(V_1, V_4) \mapsto (W, V_4)$$
where $W = \ker(\wedge^2 V_4 \twoheadrightarrow \wedge^2(V_4/V_1))(= V_1 \wedge V_4)$ is the 3-dimensional vector space.
- For $[(V_3, V_4)] \in T^{2,2}(G)$,
$$(V_3, V_4) \mapsto (\wedge^2 V_3, V_4).$$

Let $T(Y) := S(Y) \cap T(G)$.

Proposition 5.4. *The intersection part $T(Y)$ is a disjoint union of two connected components: $T^{3,1}(Y) \sqcup T^{2,2}(Y)$ where*

(1) $T^{3,1}(Y) \cong F^{3,1}(Y)$ *and*
(2) $T^{2,2}(Y)$ *is isomorphic to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over the quadric 3-fold $\Sigma (= F^{2,2}(Y))$.*

Proof. The first part is clear. The second part comes from the direct computation from a composition digram

$$T^{2,2}(Y) \subset \mathrm{Gr}(3,4,5) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{Gr}(3,5)$$

where the image $p(T^{2,2}(Y)) = \Sigma$ is the smooth quadric $\mathrm{Gr}(2, V_4^0) \cap H'$ (cf., Proposition 5.3). Here $V_4^0 = \mathrm{span}\langle e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\rangle$ and $H' = \mathrm{zero}(p_{12} - p_{03})$ is the hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_4^0)$. This can be proved directly by computing each affine chart. For instance, let $(V_3, V_4) \in \mathrm{Gr}(3,4,5)$. Let $P(V_3) = [\mathbb{P}(v_1, v_2, v_3)] \in \mathrm{Gr}(3,5)$ be the plane represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b_2 & b_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_2 & c_3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $\wedge^2(\langle v_1, v_2, v_3\rangle) \subset K_{[V_4]}$ if and only if $c_2 = c_3 = a_2 + b_3 = 0$. Doing the same computation in other chart, we obtain the result. □

In fact, one can show that the projection map of the intersection part $T(Y) \subset T(G) = \mathrm{Gr}(1,4,5) \sqcup \mathrm{Gr}(3,4,5) \to \mathrm{Gr}(4,5)$ has a bundle structure over its base.

Proposition 5.5. *The intersection part $T(Y)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1 \sqcup \mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over the Grassmanian $\mathrm{Gr}(3,4)$ linearly embedded in $\mathrm{Gr}(4,5)$.*

Proof. Recall that V be a 5-dimensional vector space with a fixed basis $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$. For $U_4 = \langle e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\rangle$, the linear embedding $\mathrm{Gr}(3, U_4) \subset \mathrm{Gr}(4, V)$ is given by $W \mapsto W + \langle e_4\rangle$ for $[W] \in \mathrm{Gr}(3,4)$. Let $[V_4] \in \mathrm{Gr}(4,5)$ and Ω be a skew-symmetric 2-form on V induced from $p_{12} - p_{03}$. We can observe that the $\mathrm{rank}\Omega|_{V_4} \neq 0$ since if it happens, it leads to a conclusion that the $\mathrm{rank}\Omega \leq 2$, which is a contradiction. If

the $\mathrm{rank}\Omega|_{V_4}=4$, then there is no vector $v \in \ker \Omega|_{V_4}$. Thus there is no $\sigma_{3,1}$-plane in the fiber of $T(Y_5^5)$ over V. Also there is no 3-dimemsnional subspace $V_3 \subset V_4$ such that $\Omega|_{V_3}=0$ since it forces the $\mathrm{rank}\Omega|_{V_4}$ to be equal or less than 2. Therefore the fiber is empty when the $\mathrm{rank}\Omega|_{V_4} = 4$. Consider the case that the $\mathrm{rank}\Omega|_{V_4} = 2$. If $V_4 \cap (\ker \Omega = \langle e_4 \rangle) = \langle 0 \rangle$, then the natural morphism $V_4 \mapsto V/\langle e_4 \rangle$ is an isomorphism and the $\mathrm{rank}\Omega|_{V_4}=4$ since the 2-form Ω descents to a rank 4 form on $V/\langle e_4 \rangle$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\langle e_4 \rangle \subset V_4$. Conversely, if $\langle e_4 \rangle \subset V_4$, then the $\mathrm{rank}\Omega \leq 2$ since $\langle e_4 \rangle \subset \ker \Omega|_{V_4}$, therefore it is equal to 2. Hence the $\mathrm{rank}\Omega|_{V_4} = 2$ if and only if $V_4 \in Gr(3, V_4)$ which is linearly embedded in $Gr(4, V)$. And the set of $\sigma_{3,1}$-planes over V clearly equals to $\mathbb{P}(\ker \Omega|_{V_4}) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \subset Gr(1, V_4) = \mathbb{P}^3$.

Consider a $\sigma_{2,2}$-plane over $[V_4] \in Gr(4, 5)$ represented by 3-dimensional subspace $V_3 \subset V_4$, i.e. $\Omega|_{V_3} = 0$. $\Omega|_{V_4}$ descents to a rank 2 form $\overline{\Omega}|_{V_4}$ on $V_4/\ker \Omega|_{V_4}$. Hence, if $\dim V_3/(\ker \Omega|_{V_4} \cap V_3) = 2$, the natural morphism $V_3/(\ker \Omega \cap V_3) \mapsto V_4/\ker \Omega|_{V_4}$ is an isomorphism and therefore the $\mathrm{rank}\Omega|_{V_3}=\mathrm{rank}\overline{\Omega}|_{V_4} = 2$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\dim V_3/(\ker \Omega|_{V_4} \cap V_3) = 1$, which is equivalent to that $\ker \Omega|_{V_4} \subset V_3$. Therefore the set of $\sigma_{2,2}$-planes corresponds to $\mathbb{P}((V_4/\ker \Omega|_{V_4})^*) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}(V_4^*) = Gr(3, 4)$. □

Lemma 5.6. $S(Y)$ *intersects with* $T(G)$ *cleanly along* $T(Y)$ *in* $S(G)$.

Proof. Consider the tangent bundle sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{T(Y),V} & \longrightarrow & T_{T(G),V} & \longrightarrow & N_{T(Y)/T(G),V} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow q & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{S(Y),V} & \longrightarrow & T_{S(G),V} & \longrightarrow & N_{S(Y)/S(G),V} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

for $V \in T(Y)$. To show that $T_{T(Y),V} = T_{T(G),V} \cap T_{S(Y),V}$, it is suffice to prove that the induced map q in (5.2) is an isomorphism. But the direct computation says that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_{T(Y)/T(G),V} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^0(\mathcal{O}_H(1)) \\ \downarrow q & & \| \\ N_{S(Y)/S(G),V} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Hom}(V_3, \mathbb{C}). \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

for $V = [(V_1, V_4)] \in T^{3,1}(Y) = F^{3,1}(Y)$ (similarly for $T^{2,2}(Y)$). Here the plane $H = \mathbb{P}(V_3)$ is determined by V (That is, $V_3 := \ker(\wedge^2 V_4 \to \wedge^2(V_4/V_1))$). The first horizontal isomorphism in (5.3) comes from the nested normal bundle sequence

$$0 \to N_{H/Y} \to N_{H/G} \to N_{Y/G}|_H \cong \mathcal{O}_H(1) \to 0,$$

where $h^1(N_{H/Y}) = 0$ by Proposition 5.3. The second horizontal isomorphism comes from the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} N_{S(Y)/S(G),V} = N_{Gr(3,5)/Gr(3,6),V} &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(V_3, \wedge^2 V_4/V_3)/\mathrm{Hom}(V_3, \mathcal{K}_{[V_4]}/V_3) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(V_3, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

by the equation (6.1) and thus we finished the proof of the claim. □

Remark 5.7. *Using the local chart obtained by Proposition 5.5, one can directly show that the scheme theoretic intersection is the same as the set-theoretic one. For detail, see* [29].

Proposition 5.8. *Let* $H_2(Y)$ *be the Hilbert scheme of conics in* $Y = Y_5^5$*. Then* $H_2(Y)$ *is obtained from* $S(Y) := Gr(3, \mathcal{K})$ *by a blow-down followed by a blow-up:*

(5.4)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widetilde{S}(Y) & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ S(Y) & & H_2(Y). \end{array}$$

In particular, $H_2(Y)$ *is smooth, irreducible variety of dimension* 10*.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.6, the blown-up space $\widetilde{S}(Y)$ is the proper transformation of $S(Y)$ through the blow-up morphism $\Xi : H_2(Gr(2, \mathcal{U})) \longrightarrow S(Gr(2, 5))$ in Proposition 4.3 ([31, Lemma 5.1]). One can easily check that the restricted normal bundle of the original one is $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ (cf. [5, Proposition 3.6]). Applying Fujiki-Nakano criterion ([13]), the blow-down space is smooth. Therefore the Hilbert scheme $H_2(Y)$ is smooth whenver $H_2(Y)$ is reduced and irreducible. Irreducibility of $H_2(Y)$ directly comes from the diagram (4.1). Also, $H_2(Y)$ is reduced since we can repeat the argument in Lemma 5.2. Thus we finish the proof. □

6. Fano 4-fold Y_5^4

In this section we let $Y = Y_5^4$ denote the Fano 4-fold defined as the intersection of $G = Gr(2, 5)$ with two general hyperplanes H_1, H_2 in $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2\mathbb{C}^5) = \mathbb{P}^9$. Let p_{ij} denote the Plücker coordinates. For explicit calculations, we will let

$$H_1 = \{p_{12} - p_{03} = 0\}, \quad H_2 = \{p_{13} - p_{24} = 0\}.$$

The results on lines and planes in Y are due to Todd [38]. We include elementary proofs of the results for reader's convenience. The result on conics seems new.

6.1. **Fano varieties of lines and planes in Y_5^4.** We first recall the results on the Fano variety of planes and lines in Y. The results in this subsection are due to Todd [38].

Lemma 6.1. [38] *There exists a unique* $\sigma_{2,2}$*-plane in* Y*, i.e. there exists a unique plane* $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ *such that the dual variety* $\Pi^\vee$ *of lines in* Π *is contained in* Y*.*

Proof. Consider the open chart

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ 0 & 1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

of $Gr(2, 5)$. Then $p_{12} - p_{03} = -a_2 - b_3$ and $p_{13} - p_{24} = -a_3 - a_2b_4 + a_4b_2$. Finding Π is the same as finding a pair of independent linear equations in $x_0, \cdots, x_4$ such that whenever both $(1, 0, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ and $(0, 1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$ satisfy the two equations, we should have $a_2 = -b_3$ and $a_3 = a_4b_2 - a_2b_4$. It is straightforward to see that the only such pair of linear equations is $x_2 = 0 = x_3$. By the same argument with other charts, we find that $\mathrm{zero}(x_2, x_3)$ is the unique plane Π with $\Pi^\vee \subset Y$. □

Recall that a $\sigma_{3,1}$-plane is the set of lines in $\mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ passing through a point p, which is called the *vertex* of the cycle.

Lemma 6.2. [38] *There exists a one-dimensional family of* $\sigma_{3,1}$*-planes in* Y *whose vertices form a smooth conic* C_0 *in* Π*. There is no other plane in* Y*.*

Proof. Let $(1, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ be the vertex of a $\sigma_{3,1}$-plane. Then a line in the plane is represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ 0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $p_{12} - p_{03} = a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 - b_3$ and $p_{13} - p_{24} = a_1b_3 - a_3b_1 - a_2b_4 + a_4b_2$, these two linear equations in (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) are parallel if and only if

$$\operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & -a_1 & 1 & 0 \\ -a_3 & a_4 & a_1 & -a_2 \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

This holds if and only if $a_2 = a_3 = 0$ and $a_4 + a_1^2 = 0$. The first equation says the vertex lies in the plane Π in Lemma 6.1 and the second says the locus of the vertices is a smooth conic in Π. By the same argument with other charts, we obtain the lemma. □

Corollary 6.3. *The Fano variety of planes in* Y *is* $C_0 \sqcup \{\Pi\}$.

Proposition 6.4. [38] *Let* $H_1(Y)$ *denote the Hilbert scheme of lines in* $Y = Y_5^4$. *Then* $H_1(Y)$ *is isomorphic to the blowup of* $\mathbb{P}^4$ *along the smooth conic* $C_0 \subset \Pi$ *in Lemma 6.2.*

Proof. Recall that a line Z in $G = Gr(2,5)$ is the set of lines in a plane P in $\mathbb{P}^4$ passing through a point $p \in P$, which we call the vertex of the line Z. Assigning the vertex to a line in V gives a map

$$\psi : H_1(Y) \subset H_1(G) = Gr(1,3,5) \longrightarrow Gr(1,5) = \mathbb{P}^4.$$

By the proof of Lemma 6.2, $y = (1, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{P}^4$, if $\nu \notin C_0$, the $\sigma_{3,1}$-cycle $\sigma_{3,1}(\nu)$ with vertex ν intersects with Y along a line. If $\nu \in C_0$, $\sigma_{3,1}(\nu)$ intersects with Y along a plane $P(\nu)$ in Y. Hence $\psi^{-1}(y)$ is a point for $y \notin C_0$ and $\mathbb{P}^2$ for $y \in C_0$.

By explicit local chart calculation as in the proof of Proposition 5.1, it is again straightforward to show that ψ is the blowup map along C_0. Also, by the same argument in Lemma 5.2, one can show that the Hilbert scheme $H_1(Y)$ is smooth and thus finish the proof. □

Proposition 6.5. *Let* $C_0^\vee \subset H_1(Y)$ *denote the dual conic of tangent lines to* C_0 *in* Π. *Let* $Z \in H_1(Y)$ *be a line in* Y. *Then the normal bundle* $N_{Z/Y}$ *is* $\mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ *if* $Z \notin C_0^\vee$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_Z(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(1)^{\oplus 2}$ *if* $Z \in C_0^\vee$.

Proof. Let $Z = (1, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ be a line in $\Pi^\vee \subset V$. Suppose the line Z is represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ 0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

with (a_1, b_1) the homogeneous coordinates for Z. Then a_2, a_3, b_2, b_3 are the coordinates for the normal bundle $N_{\Pi^\vee/G}|_Z$ with a_2, a_3 giving $\mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus 2}$ while b_2, b_3 giving $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)^{\oplus 2}$. The two equations $p_{12} - p_{03}$ and $p_{13} - p_{24}$ give us homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(1)^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2}, \quad (a_2, a_3, b_2, b_3) \mapsto (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 - b_3, a_1b_3 - a_3b_1 - a_2b_4 + a_4b_2).$$

If $a_4 + a_1^2 \neq 0$, then the kernel of this homomorphism is $\mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus 2}$. If $a_4 + a_1^2 = 0$, then the kernel is $\mathcal{O}_Z(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(1)$. The equation $a_4 + a_1^2 = 0$ defines the conic C_0. Since the normal bundle $N_{Z/\Pi^\vee}$ is $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$, the exact sequence $0 \to N_{Z/\Pi^\vee} \to N_{Z/Y} \to N_{\Pi^\vee/Y}|_Z \to 0$ splits to $N_{Z/Y} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \oplus N_{\Pi^\vee/Y}|_Z$. So we have the proposition. □

6.2. **Conics in Y_5^4.** In this subsection, we study the birational relation between $H_2(Y_5^4)$ and a projective model by using the diagram (4.1).

Proposition 6.6. *Let $H_2(Y)$ be the Hilbert scheme of conics in $Y = Y_5^4$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the universal subbundle on $Gr(4,5)$. Let*

$$\mathcal{K} := \ker\{\wedge^2\mathcal{U} \subset \wedge^2\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 5} \to \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}\}$$

be the kernel of the composition map where the second arrow is $(p_{12}-p_{03}, p_{13}-p_{24})$. Then $H_2(Y)$ is obtained from $S(Y) := Gr(3,\mathcal{K})$ by a blow-down followed by a blow-up:

(6.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widetilde{S}(Y) & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ S(Y) & & H_2(Y). \end{array}$$

In particular, $H_2(Y)$ is an irreducible, smooth variety of dimension 7.

Proof. The proof can be done by the parallel way of that of Proposition 5.8. □

Remark 6.7. *As the 5-fold case in Proposition 5.5, the blow-up center $T(Y) \subset T(G) = Gr(1,4,5) \sqcup Gr(3,4,5) \to Gr(4,5)$ in $S(Y)$ has a bundle structure over its base. In fact, the intersection $T(Y)$ is a disjoint $\mathbb{P}^0 \sqcup \mathbb{P}^0$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ which is linearly embedded in $Gr(4,5)$. Each fiber of $T(Y)$ over $[V_4] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is the following:*

$$\{((\ker(\Omega|_{V_4}) \cap \ker(\Omega|'_{V_4}), V_4), ((\ker(\Omega|_{V_4}) + \ker(\Omega|'_{V_4})), V_4)\} \subset Gr(1,4,5) \sqcup Gr(3,4,5).$$

Here Ω (resp. Ω') is the skew-symmetric 2-form on V induced from $p_{12} - p_{03}$ (resp. $p_{13} - p_{24}$).

7. Fano 3-fold Y_5^3

The method of proofs in previous sections can be applied to the Fano 3-fold, which enables us to re-prove the well-known results about the space of lines and conics. For explicit calculations, we let

$$H_1 = \{p_{12} - p_{03} = 0\}, \quad H_2 = \{p_{13} - p_{24} = 0\}, \quad H_3 = \{p_{14} - p_{02} = 0\}.$$

Proposition 7.1. *The Hilbert scheme $F_1(Y)$ of lines is isomorphic to a projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. Consider the vertex map $F_1(Y) \subset Gr(1,3,5) \to Gr(1,5)$. Then for a vertex $p = [a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3 : a_4]$, a line in $Gr(2,5)$ with the vertex p is contained in Y if and only if

$$\operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & 0 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ -a_1 & -a_4 & -a_0 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \leq 2.$$

Then the condition says that the defining ideal of the image $\mathrm{Im}(F_1(Y))$ in $Gr(1,5) = \mathbb{P}^4$ is given by

$$\langle a_1a_2a_3 + a_0a_3^2 - a_2^2a_4 + a_3a_4^2,\ a_2^3 - a_1a_3^2 - a_2a_3a_4,\ a_1a_2^2 + a_0a_2a_3 - a_1a_3a_4,\ a_0a_2^2 + a_1^2a_3,$$
$$a_1^2a_2 + a_0a_1a_3 + a_0a_2a_4,\ a_0a_1a_2 + a_0^2a_3 + a_1^2a_4 + a_0a_4^2,\ a_1^3 - a_0^2a_2 + a_0a_1a_4\rangle.$$

It is well-known that this is isomorphic to a $\mathbb{P}^2$, which is a projected Veronese surface ([35, Theorem 1.1]). Note that $\mathrm{Im}(\mathsf{F}_1(\mathsf{Y})) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ contains the distinguished conic C_0 in Proposition 6.4. Thus $\mathsf{F}_1(\mathsf{Y}) = \mathsf{bl}_{\mathsf{C}_0}\mathrm{Im}(\mathsf{F}_1(\mathsf{Y})) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. □

Proposition 7.2. *The Hilbert scheme* $\mathsf{H}_2(\mathsf{Y})$ *of conics is isomorphic to* $\mathsf{Gr}(4,5) \cong \mathbb{P}^4$.

Proof. By the argument in the proof of Lemma 6.1, one easily see that Y does not contain any planes. Furthermore, let

$$\mathcal{K} := \ker\{\wedge^2\mathcal{U} \subset \wedge^2\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 5} \to \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 3}\}$$

be the kernel where $\mathcal{U}$ is the universal bundle over $\mathsf{Gr}(4,5)$ and the second arrow is $(\mathsf{p}_{12}-\mathsf{p}_{03}, \mathsf{p}_{13}-\mathsf{p}_{24}, \mathsf{p}_{14}-\mathsf{p}_{02})$. Then one can easily see that $\mathcal{K}$ is a rank 3 vector bundle. And thus $\mathsf{H}_2(\mathsf{Y})_{\mathrm{red}} \cong \mathsf{S}(\mathsf{Y}) \cong \mathsf{Gr}(4,5)$. By the same argument in Lemma 5.2, one can show that $\mathsf{H}_2(\mathsf{Y})_{\mathrm{red}} = \mathsf{H}_2(\mathsf{Y})$. □

References

1. E. Amerik. *Some remarks on morphisms between Fano threefolds*. Documenta Math. **9**, 471-486 (2004).
2. M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A308** (1982) 523-615.
3. A. Beauville and R. Donagi. La variété des droites d'une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **301** (1985), 703-706.
4. D. Chen and I. Coskun. *Stable base locus decompositions of the Kontsevich moduli spaces*. Michigan Math. J., **59**, (2010), 435-466.
5. K. Chung, J. Hong and Y.-H. Kiem. *Compactified moduli spaces of rational curves in projective homogeneous varieties*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **64** (2012), no. 4, 1211–1248.
6. K. Chung and Y.-H. Kiem. *Hilbert scheme of rational cubic curves via stable maps*. Amer. J. Math. **133** (2011), no. 3, 797-834.
7. K. Chung and H.-B. Moon. *Moduli of sheaves, Fourier-Mukai transform, and partial desingularization*, Math. Z. **283** (2016), no.1, 275-299.
8. K. Chung and H.-B. Moon. *Mori's program for the moduli space of conics in Grassmannian*, arXiv:1608.00181.
9. C. H. Clemens and P. Griffiths. *The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold*, Ann. Math. (2) **95** (1972), 281-356.
10. D. Faenzi. *Bundles over the Fano threefold* V_5. Commun. Algebra **33**(9) (2005), 3061-3080.
11. W. Fulton. *Intersection theory*, Springer, 1984
12. M. Furushima and N. Nakayama. *The family of lines on the Fano threefold* V_5. Nagoya Math. J. **116** (1989), 111-122.
13. A. Fujiki and S. Nakano. Supplement to "On the inverse of monoidal transformation". *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 7:637–644, 1971/72.
14. D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman. Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.
15. P. Griffiths and J. Harris. *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*. Wiley, New York, 1978.
16. J. Harris. *Algebraic geometry, a first course*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
17. R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
18. S. Hosono and H. Takagi. *Geometry of symmetric determinantal loci*. arXiv:1508.01995.
19. D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2010.
20. A. Iliev. *The Fano surface of the Gushel threefold*, Compositio Math. **94** (1994), 81-107.
21. A. Iliev, G. Kapustka, M. Kapustka, and K. Ranestad. *EPW cubes*. arXiv:1505.02389. To appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.
22. A. Iliev and L. Manivel. *Fano manifolds of degree ten and EPW sextics*. Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, Elsevier Masson, 2011, 44 (3), pp.393-426.

23. V. A. Iskovskih. *Anticanonical models of three-dimensional algebraic varieties*, J. Soviet Math., **13-14** (1980), 745-814.
24. V. A. Iskovskikh and Y. Prokhorov. *Fano varieties*, Algebraic geometry, V, 1-247, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. **47**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
25. Y.-H. Kiem. *Hecke correspondence, stable maps, and the Kirwan desingularization.* Duke Math. J. **136** (2007), 585–618.
26. Y.-H. Kiem and H.-B. Moon. *Moduli space of stable maps to projective space via GIT*. Internat. J. of Math. **21** (2010), no. 5, 639-664.
27. B. Kim and R. Pandharipande. *The connectedness of the moduli space of maps to homogeneous spaces*, Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001, 187-201.
28. A. Kuznetsov, Y. Prokhorov, and C. Shramov. *Hilbert schemes of lines and conics and automorphism groups of Fano threefolds*. arXiv:1605.02010.
29. S. Lee. In preparation.
30. J. M. Landsberg and L. Manivel. *On the projective geometry of rational homogeneous varieties*. Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 1, 65-100.
31. L. Li. *Wonderful compactification of an arrangement of subvarieties*. Michigan Math. J. **58** (2009), 535-563.
32. C. Lehn, M. Lehn, C. Sorger and D. van Straten. *Twisted cubics on a cubic fourfould*. J. Reine Angew. Math. (2015), DOI 10.1515/crelle-2014-0144.
33. E. Looijenga. *Isolated singular points on complete intersections*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **77**, Cambridge University Press 1984.
34. J. Kollar. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 32. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
35. E. Park. *Smooth varieties of almost minimal degree*, J. Algebra **314** (2007), no. 1, 185-208.
36. Y. G. Prokhorov. *Compactifications of* $\mathbb{C}^4$ *of index* 3, in Algebraic geometry and its applications(Yaroslavl, 1992), 159-169, Aspects Math., E25 Vieweg, Braunschweig.
37. G. Sanna. *Rational curves and instantons on the Fano threefold* Y_5. arXiv:1411.7994.
38. J. P. Todd. *The locus representing the lines of four-dimensional space and its application to linear complexes in four dimensions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2. **30** (1930), 513-550.

Department of Mathematics Education, Kyungpook National University, 80 Daehakro, Bukgu, Daegu 41566, Korea
E-mail address: krchung@knu.ac.kr

School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, 85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemungu, Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: jhhong00@kias.re.kr

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, GwanAkRo 1, Seoul 08826, Korea
E-mail address: tlrehrdl@snu.ac.kr